CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, CONNECTICUT, CHAIRMAN

TIM JOHNSON, SOUTH DAKOTA
JACK REED, RHODE ISLAND
CHARLES E. SCHUMER, NEW YORK
EVAN BAYH, INDIANA
THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE
ROBERT MENENDEZ, NEW JERSEY
DANIEL K. AKAKA, HAWAII
SHERROD BROWN, OHIO
ROBERT P. CASEY, JR., PENNSYLVANIA
JON TESTER, MONTANA

RICHARD C. SHELBY, ALABAMA
ROBERT F. BENNETT, UTAH
WAYNE ALLARD, COLORADO
MICHAEL B. ENZI, WYOMING
CHUCK HAGEL, NEBRASKA
JIM BUNNING, KENTUCKY
MICHAEL CRAPO, IDAHO
JOHN E. SUNUNU, NEW HAMPSHIRE
ELIZABETH DOLE, NORTH CAROLINA
MEL MARTINEZ, FLORIDA

SHAWN MAHER, STAFF DIRECTOR
WILLIAM D. DUHNKE, REPUBLICAN STAFF DIRECTOR AND COUNSEL

United States Senate
COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS
WASHINGTON, DC 20510–6075

April 23, 2007

The Honorable Ben Bernanke
Chairman
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20551

Dear Chairman Bernanke:

The Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs has held two hearings this year on the problems in the subprime mortgage market, particularly problems created by predatory and abusive lending. At the hearings, a number of Committee members raised concerns that the regulators have not kept pace with deteriorating credit standards or the growth of abusive, unfair and deceptive lending practices. In addition, we are concerned that the Federal Reserve Board has not exercised its obligations under the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA) of 1994 to issue regulations that address the problems of predatory lending. Specifically, HOEPA states:

> The Board, by regulation or order, shall prohibit acts or practices in connection with (A) mortgage loans the that the Board finds to be unfair, deceptive or designed to evade the provisions of this section; and (B) refinancing of mortgage loans that the Board finds to be associated with abusive lending practices, or that are otherwise not in the interest of the borrower.

We underscore that the statute does not simply grant authority to be used at the discretion of the Board. The law clearly mandates action by the Federal Reserve Board. Action by the Board pursuant to this statute is crucial because such a regulation would apply both to bank and non-bank lenders. As all of the federal regulators have noted, one of the critical issues we need to address is the fact that neither the Nontraditional Mortgage Guidance, nor the proposed Subprime Guidance applies to non-bank lenders. To address this problem, and to provide important protections, we urge the Board to use its authority under HOEPA to take, at a minimum, the following steps:

1. Require all mortgage originators to evaluate a borrower's ability to repay prior to making a mortgage loan. The Board should create a presumption that a loan that results in a debt-to-income ratio of more than 50 percent, including the cost of principal, interest, taxes, insurance and other debts, is not a sustainable loan and fails to meet this test.

In both the Nontraditional Mortgage Guidance and the proposed Subprime Guidance, the federal regulators re-establish the prudent lending principle that no loan should be made where the borrower cannot demonstrate the ability to repay the loan, taking into account the fully-indexed, fully-amortizing rate, and taking into account any potential negative amortization. Lenders have testified that the payments on some exotic and subprime mortgages they have made will spike so high as to require borrowers to pay 60 to 70 percent of the borrowers' incomes. These are unsustainable loans that put borrowers in a terrible position of having to refinance at great cost, sell their homes, or default. The Federal Reserve Board and other federal regulatory agencies have correctly determined that these kinds of loans should not be made. It is particularly distressing that the vast majority of subprime loans – about 90 percent – have been made to people who already own their own homes, thereby putting those homes at risk. In fact, a study just released by the Center for Responsible Lending indicates that subprime lending has led to a net loss in homeownership in recent years.

It has been repeatedly emphasized by lenders, consumer groups, and regulators at the hearings chaired last year by Senators Allard and Bunning, as well as the two hearings held this year, that uniform standards for federally-chartered and state-chartered lenders, as well as for brokers, are highly desirable. The Board can ensure this consistent and uniform standard by, at a minimum, using its authority under HOEPA to establish that any loan that is not originated consistent with the basic, common-sense lending standards established in the Nontraditional and Subprime Guidance is unfair or deceptive.

2. Designate that the failure to escrow taxes and insurance is an unfair and deceptive practice.

It is our understanding that the majority of subprime loans do not include escrows for taxes and insurance, whereas such escrows are nearly universal for prime mortgages. No analysis of a person's ability to repay a mortgage is complete without including the costs of taxes and insurance. It is quite clear from testimony received by the Committee that the failure to escrow for taxes and insurance is another practice that puts homeowners at risk. Indeed, subprime lenders and brokers seem to routinely quote monthly payments to prospective borrowers that do not include taxes and insurance as a way of deceiving the borrowers into thinking their monthly obligations will be lower than their true costs. This is clearly a deceptive practice.

3. Restrict the use of low- and no-documentation loans.

As many as 45 percent of subprime loans in recent years have been originated as so-called low- or no-doc loans, despite the fact that, in many cases, borrowers are willing and able to document their income. Often it appears that brokers and lenders simply qualify borrowers for these higher rate products because it is quicker and easier to do so, and it leads to higher compensation for the originator. Unfortunately, this approach often results in borrowers being sold adjustable rate mortgages with higher rates when they might have qualified for 30-year fixed rate mortgages *with lower interest rates*. This practice puts these borrowers in unnecessary jeopardy. The Board should consider ways to greatly reduce the reliance on these loans.

While this is not a comprehensive list, quick action on these items by the Federal Reserve Board under its HOEPA authority would be extremely helpful in extending important consumer protections to homeowners and buyers. Moreover, it would do so in a comprehensive way by requiring that all lenders operate under the same rules.

Federal Reserve Board staff have indicated that the Board is undertaking a review of these issues. We appreciate that this is the first such review since you have become the Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board. We appreciate your receptivity and open mindedness in reviewing the urgent need for rulemaking to address abuses in the subprime mortgage market. Thank you for consideration of this request, and we look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Senator Christopher J. Dodd

Senator Jack Reed

Senator Charles E. Schumer

Senator Evan Bayh

Senator Thomas R. Carper

Senator Robert Menendez

Senator Daniel Akaka

Senator Sherrod Brown

Senator Robert P. Casey

Senator Jon Tester